

how to write a literature review economics

how to write a literature review economics is a critical skill for any aspiring or established economist, forming the bedrock of robust academic research. This comprehensive guide delves into the systematic process of constructing a compelling economics literature review, from its foundational principles to advanced writing techniques. We will explore how to effectively search for, evaluate, and synthesize scholarly work in the field, moving beyond mere summarization to critical analysis and the identification of research gaps. Understanding the unique demands of economic discourse, including its reliance on theoretical frameworks, empirical methodologies, and quantitative analysis, is paramount. This article provides a structured approach to help you craft a literature review that not only demonstrates your grasp of existing knowledge but also sets the stage for your own original contributions, ensuring clarity, coherence, and academic rigor throughout your economic research journey.

- Understanding the Purpose of an Economics Literature Review
- Initial Steps: Laying the Foundation for Your Economics Literature Review
- Critical Engagement: Analyzing and Synthesizing Economic Literature
- Structuring Your Economics Literature Review
- Writing with Impact: Language and Style for Economics
- Refining Your Literature Review: Review and Revision

Understanding the Purpose of an Economics Literature Review

An economics literature review is more than just a summary of existing academic papers; it is a critical evaluation and synthesis of scholarly work related to a specific research question or topic within the field of economics. Its primary purpose is to contextualize your research, demonstrate your understanding of the current state of knowledge, and identify gaps or areas requiring further investigation. For students and researchers embarking on a thesis, dissertation, or journal article, mastering **how to write a literature review economics** is an indispensable skill that underpins the credibility and originality of their contributions.

Why Literature Reviews Matter in Economics

In economics, literature reviews serve several vital functions. They establish the intellectual lineage of your research, showing how your work builds upon, challenges, or extends previous findings. Economic research often relies on specific models, theories, and empirical techniques, and a thorough literature review demonstrates familiarity with these established paradigms. It helps

researchers avoid duplicating effort, ensuring that their work genuinely contributes something new to the body of economic knowledge. Moreover, a well-executed review strengthens the argument for the significance of your own research by highlighting unresolved debates or unexamined questions.

Key Objectives of a Literature Review

The objectives of an economics literature review are multi-faceted. Firstly, it aims to provide an overview of the current state of research, identifying major themes, theoretical approaches, and methodological innovations that have shaped the discourse. Secondly, it critically evaluates the strengths and weaknesses of existing studies, scrutinizing their methodologies, data sources, and conclusions. Thirdly, and crucially for original research, it identifies contradictions, inconsistencies, or significant gaps in the literature that your own study intends to address. Finally, it helps to refine your own research question, placing it firmly within the context of ongoing academic conversations and debates.

Initial Steps: Laying the Foundation for Your Economics Literature Review

Beginning the process of **how to write a literature review economics** requires careful planning and a systematic approach. The initial steps are crucial for establishing a solid foundation, ensuring that your subsequent research and writing are focused, efficient, and comprehensive. Defining a clear research question, employing effective search strategies, and managing your sources meticulously are key to navigating the vast landscape of economic scholarship.

Defining Your Research Question and Scope

Before diving into the literature, a precise research question is essential. This question will act as your compass, guiding your search and helping you to determine which sources are relevant. For an economics literature review, your question should be specific enough to allow for a focused search, yet broad enough to encompass a sufficient body of scholarly work. Consider the key variables, theoretical constructs, geographical scope, and time period relevant to your inquiry. Clearly defining the boundaries of your review will prevent it from becoming an unmanageable aggregation of loosely related papers.

Effective Literature Search Strategies

Once your research question is defined, you need to develop robust search strategies to locate relevant economic literature. This involves utilizing a variety of academic databases, university libraries, and specialized economic resources. Key sources include:

- **JSTOR:** Excellent for historical and interdisciplinary journals.
- **EconLit:** The American Economic Association's comprehensive index of economics literature.
- **Google Scholar:** Useful for a broad initial search and identifying highly cited works.

- **SSRN (Social Science Research Network):** Pre-print server for working papers and forthcoming articles.
- **Specific Journal Websites:** Direct access to top-tier economic journals (e.g., American Economic Review, Quarterly Journal of Economics).
- **University Library Databases:** Access to a wide range of peer-reviewed articles, books, and dissertations.

Employ a combination of keywords, Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT), and citation tracking to broaden and refine your search. Start with broad terms and gradually narrow them down based on initial results. Pay attention to the keywords used in seminal papers as these can lead you to other relevant studies.

Managing Your References and Sources

As you gather sources, efficient reference management becomes critical. Tools such as Zotero, Mendeley, or EndNote can help you organize your bibliographic information, PDFs, and notes. These tools allow you to import citations directly from databases, tag articles for easier retrieval, and generate bibliographies in various citation styles (e.g., APA, Chicago, Harvard) automatically. Maintaining a well-organized library of sources from the outset will save significant time and effort during the writing and revision phases of your economics literature review.

Critical Engagement: Analyzing and Synthesizing Economic Literature

Simply collecting and summarizing articles is insufficient for a strong economics literature review. The core of a compelling review lies in your ability to critically engage with the material and synthesize diverse perspectives into a coherent narrative. This stage demands analytical rigor, especially given the quantitative and theoretical nature of much economic research.

Evaluating Economic Research and Sources

Critical evaluation involves assessing the quality, relevance, and validity of each source. For economic studies, this means scrutinizing the theoretical framework, the research methodology (e.g., econometric models, experimental design), the data sources, and the robustness of the empirical findings. Ask yourself:

1. Is the economic theory clearly articulated and appropriate for the research question?
2. Are the research design and methodology sound and reproducible?
3. Is the data reliable, and are its limitations acknowledged?
4. Are the statistical analyses correctly applied and interpreted?

5. Are the conclusions supported by the evidence presented?
6. What are the strengths and weaknesses of the argument or findings?
7. How does this study compare to others employing similar methodologies or addressing similar questions?

Prioritize peer-reviewed journal articles, reputable books, and working papers from established economic institutions. Be wary of unsubstantiated claims or sources lacking academic rigor.

Identifying Themes, Debates, and Gaps

As you critically read, look for recurring themes, major debates, and points of convergence or divergence among scholars. Economic literature often features ongoing discussions around specific policy interventions, the validity of certain theoretical assumptions, or the interpretation of empirical results. Identifying these allows you to group similar studies, highlight controversies, and discern the evolution of ideas. Crucially, this process also helps pinpoint gaps in the literature – areas that have been underexplored, methodologies that haven't been applied to a particular problem, or contexts that have been overlooked. These gaps are where your own research can make a significant contribution.

Synthesizing Information: Beyond Summarizing

Synthesis involves integrating the findings and arguments of multiple sources to form a coherent understanding of the topic, rather than merely summarizing each article sequentially. It requires you to identify relationships between studies, draw connections, and build a narrative that explains the current state of knowledge. This might involve:

- Grouping studies by common themes, theoretical approaches, or methodologies.
- Comparing and contrasting different perspectives or findings.
- Identifying trends and patterns in research over time.
- Explaining how different studies contribute to a broader understanding of the economic phenomenon.
- Highlighting areas of consensus and persistent disagreement.

The goal is to move from describing individual studies to analyzing the collective body of work, building an argument about what has been established and what remains unknown.

Structuring Your Economics Literature Review

The structure of your economics literature review is pivotal for its clarity and impact. A well-

organized review guides the reader through the complex landscape of economic scholarship, making your analysis comprehensible and your identification of research gaps persuasive. There is no single "correct" structure, but common approaches are often employed depending on the nature of the topic and the overall research project.

Common Organizational Approaches

When considering **how to write a literature review economics**, several organizational strategies can be effective:

- **Chronological:** Traces the development of research over time. Useful for topics with a clear historical progression of ideas or theories.
- **Thematic:** Organizes literature around key themes, subtopics, or controversies. This is often the most common and effective approach in economics, allowing for direct comparison and synthesis of different studies on similar aspects.
- **Methodological:** Groups studies by the research methods employed (e.g., econometric analysis, experimental economics, qualitative case studies). Particularly useful if your research focuses on methodological innovations or comparisons.
- **Theoretical:** Structured around different economic theories or models that have been applied to the topic. Ideal for discussions centered on theoretical debates.
- **Conceptual:** Focuses on key concepts or variables, exploring how they have been defined, measured, and analyzed across various studies.

Often, a combination of these approaches, such as thematic sections with chronological elements within each theme, provides the most nuanced and effective structure. Each section or subsection should have a clear purpose and contribute to the overall argument of the review.

Crafting a Strong Introduction and Conclusion

The introduction to your economics literature review should clearly state its scope, purpose, and the organizational framework you will follow. It should also briefly highlight the key research question or problem that the review addresses and hint at the significant gaps or debates you will uncover. A well-written introduction sets the stage for the entire review, engaging the reader and providing a roadmap.

The conclusion, rather than simply summarizing what has already been said, should synthesize the main findings of your review. It should reiterate the key themes, debates, and methodological considerations discussed, and most importantly, clearly articulate the significant gaps or unresolved questions in the literature. This is where you explicitly make the case for the necessity of further research—your own research—and demonstrate how your study will fill a critical void or advance current understanding within economics.

Writing with Impact: Language and Style for Economics

The quality of your writing significantly impacts the effectiveness of your economics literature review. Clarity, precision, and a professional academic tone are paramount. Given the often quantitative and technical nature of economic research, it is crucial to communicate complex ideas in an accessible yet rigorous manner.

Academic Tone and Clarity

Maintain a formal, objective, and scholarly tone throughout your review. Avoid colloquialisms, personal opinions (unless clearly attributed and justified), and overly casual language. Precision in language is especially important in economics, where specific terms have precise meanings (e.g., elasticity, utility, efficiency). Ensure that your sentences are clear, concise, and free from ambiguity. Use transition words and phrases effectively to create a smooth flow between paragraphs and ideas, guiding the reader through your analysis. Remember that your goal is to present a rigorous and evidence-based argument, not a personal commentary.

Integrating Evidence and Citing Sources Effectively

Every claim or assertion made in your literature review must be supported by evidence from the scholarly literature. Integrate evidence seamlessly into your paragraphs, explaining its relevance to your discussion. Avoid simply dropping quotes; instead, paraphrase and synthesize information, giving credit to the original authors. For instance, instead of saying, "Smith (2010) found X," you might write, "Empirical evidence suggests a positive correlation between Y and Z (Smith, 2010), a finding corroborated by later studies (Johnson, 2015; Lee, 2018)."

Proper citation is non-negotiable in academic writing. Follow a consistent citation style, such as APA, Chicago, or Harvard, as specified by your institution or target publication. This includes both in-text citations and a comprehensive reference list at the end. Accurate and consistent citation practices uphold academic integrity and allow readers to easily locate the sources you have discussed.

Refining Your Literature Review: Review and Revision

The writing process for an economics literature review is iterative. Once a draft is complete, rigorous review and revision are essential to polish the content, strengthen the argument, and ensure it meets academic standards. This final stage is as critical as the initial research and writing phases for producing a high-quality review.

Self-Editing and Peer Review

After completing a draft, set it aside for a day or two before returning to it with fresh eyes. Begin with a comprehensive self-edit, focusing on content, structure, clarity, and logical flow. Ask yourself if the introduction clearly states the purpose, if each section contributes to your overall argument, and if the conclusion effectively summarizes and identifies gaps. Check for repetition, redundancy, and areas where your arguments could be strengthened with more specific evidence or deeper

analysis. Beyond content, meticulously proofread for grammatical errors, spelling mistakes, punctuation issues, and citation inconsistencies. Engaging in peer review, where a colleague or mentor provides feedback, can offer invaluable external perspectives and highlight areas you might have overlooked. They can assess whether your arguments are clear, your synthesis is effective, and if you have accurately represented the literature.

Ensuring Cohesion and Argumentative Flow

A strong literature review in economics is characterized by its cohesion and argumentative flow. This means that all sections, paragraphs, and sentences should logically connect and contribute to a unified narrative that builds towards your identified research gap. Use topic sentences to introduce the main idea of each paragraph, and ensure that subsequent sentences support or elaborate on that idea. Employ effective transition words and phrases between sentences and paragraphs to create smooth bridges between ideas. Regularly revisit your research question throughout the revision process to ensure that every part of your review directly addresses it or contextualizes it. The aim is to present a compelling narrative that systematically reviews existing scholarship, critically analyzes it, and logically leads the reader to the conclusion that your proposed research is necessary and significant. This iterative refinement ensures that your literature review is not just a collection of summaries, but a powerful analytical tool that justifies your contribution to the economic discourse.

Q: What is the primary purpose of writing a literature review in economics?

A: The primary purpose of an economics literature review is to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of existing scholarly work related to a specific economic topic or research question. It contextualizes the researcher's own work, identifies the intellectual lineage of ideas, critically evaluates the strengths and weaknesses of previous studies, and, most importantly, identifies significant gaps or unresolved debates in the current literature that the proposed research aims to address. It moves beyond mere summarization to critical analysis and synthesis.

Q: How do economic literature reviews differ from those in other social sciences?

A: While the core principles of literature reviews are similar across social sciences, economics literature reviews often place a stronger emphasis on quantitative methodologies, econometric models, and the theoretical underpinnings of economic phenomena. They rigorously scrutinize empirical findings, data sources, and the robustness of statistical analyses. The focus is frequently on policy implications, model validity, and the logical consistency of arguments derived from specific economic theories, often requiring a deep understanding of mathematical and statistical concepts.

Q: What are the best databases for finding economics literature?

A: For economics literature, the most highly recommended databases include EconLit (the

authoritative index for economic literature published by the American Economic Association), JSTOR (especially for older or interdisciplinary articles), Google Scholar (for a broad initial search and citation tracking), and SSRN (for working papers and pre-prints). University library databases also provide access to a wide range of peer-reviewed journals and books specific to economic fields.

Q: How can I effectively identify research gaps in economic literature?

A: To effectively identify research gaps, you must engage in critical reading, not just summarization. Look for: (1) conflicting empirical results on the same topic; (2) theoretical questions that remain unanswered or untested; (3) limitations in existing methodologies (e.g., small sample sizes, outdated data, specific econometric challenges); (4) topics that have only been studied in specific contexts (e.g., developed countries) but not in others (e.g., developing countries); (5) areas where different sub-fields of economics have not been integrated; or (6) new policy questions that have emerged but lack sufficient economic analysis.

Q: Should I include working papers or only peer-reviewed articles in my economics literature review?

A: It is generally advisable to prioritize peer-reviewed journal articles and reputable academic books for your core literature review, as these have undergone rigorous quality control. However, including relevant working papers, particularly from esteemed institutions or prominent scholars, can be valuable. Working papers often represent the cutting edge of research and may include very recent findings that have not yet been formally published. If you do include working papers, acknowledge their provisional status and exercise extra caution in evaluating their methodology and conclusions.

Q: What is the role of synthesis in an economics literature review, and how does it differ from summarization?

A: Summarization involves restating the main points of individual studies. Synthesis, on the other hand, involves integrating and analyzing information from multiple sources to identify relationships, themes, debates, and patterns across the literature. In economics, synthesis means comparing and contrasting different theoretical approaches, empirical findings, or methodological choices to build a coherent argument about the current state of knowledge. It helps you draw connections, highlight areas of consensus and disagreement, and articulate how different studies collectively contribute to (or detract from) understanding an economic phenomenon, ultimately leading to the identification of research gaps.

[How To Write A Literature Review Economics](#)

How To Write A Literature Review Economics

Related Articles

- [what to do with a phd in philosophy](#)
- [how to write a literature review for a systematic review](#)
- [apa 7th edition citation format journal article](#)

[Back to Home](#)